

APPENDIX 2
SEPP Checklist

INSTRUMENT	APPLIES	CONSISTENT	REASON FOR INCONSISTENCY
SEPP No. 1 Development Standards	YES	YES	
SEPP No. 4 Development Without Consent	YES	YES	
SEPP No. 6 Number of Storeys in a Building	NO	N/A	
SEPP No. 14 Coastal Wetlands	NO	N/A	
SEPP No. 15 Rural Land-Sharing Communities	NO	N/A	
SEPP No. 19 Bushland in Urban Areas	NO	N/A	
SEPP No. 21 Caravan Parks	NO	N/A	
SEPP No. 22 Shops and Commercial Premises	NO	N/A	
SEPP No. 26 Littoral Rainforests	NO	N/A	
SEPP No. 29 Western Sydney Recreation Area	NO	N/A	
SEPP No. 30 Intensive Agriculture	NO	N/A	
SEPP No. 32 Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land)	NO	N/A	
SEPP No. 33 Hazardous & Offensive Development	NO	N/A	
SEPP No. 36 Manufactured Home Estates	NO	N/A	
SEPP No. 39 Spit Island Bird Habitat	NO	N/A	
SEPP No. 41 Casino/Entertainment Complex	NO	N/A	
SEPP No. 44 Koala Habitat Protection	YES	YES	
SEPP No. 47 Moore Park Showground	NO	N/A	
SEPP No. 50 Canal Estate	NO	N/A	
SEPP No. 52 Farm Dams/Works in Land & Water Mgmt Plan Areas	NO	N/A	
SEPP No. 53 Metropolitan Residential Development	NO	N/A	
SEPP No. 55 Remediation of Land	YES	YES	

SEPP No. 59 Central Western Sydney Economic & Employment Area	NO	N/A	
SEPP No. 60 Exempt & Complying Development (White Bay/Glebe Island)	NO	N/A	
SEPP No. 62 Sustainable Aquaculture	YES	YES	
SEPP No. 64 Advertising and Signage	YES	YES	
SEPP No.65 Design Quality of Residential Flat Development	YES	YES	
SEPP No. 70 Affordable Housing (Revised Schemes)	NO	N/A	
SEPP No. 71 Coastal Protection	NO	N/A	
SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004	NO	N/A	
SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004	YES	YES	
SEPP (Major Developments) 2005	NO	N/A	
SEPP (Sydney Region Growth Centres) 2006	NO	N/A	
SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production & Extractive Industries) 2007	NO	N/A	
SEPP (Temporary Structures & Places of Public Entertainment) 2007	NO	N/A	
SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007	NO	N/A	
SEPP (Kosciusko National Park – Alpine Resorts) 2007	NO	N/A	
SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008	YES	YES	
SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009	YES	YES	
SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008	YES	YES	
SEPP (Western Sydney Parklands) 2009	NO	N/A	

APPENDIX 3**Section 117 Directions****Comment**

1 Employment and Resources	
1.1 Business and Industrial Zones	N/A
1.2 Rural Zones	4(a) The planning proposal will not rezone the land. It will continue to be zone 1(a) Rural 4(b) The planning proposal will not increase the permissible density. The LEP is consistent
1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries	N/A
1.5 Rural Lands	The LEP is consistent with SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008.
2 Environment and Heritage	
2.1 Environmental Protection Zones	The planning proposal does not include specific provisions to facilitate the protection and conservation of environmentally sensitive areas. LEP 2006 which is the principle planning instrument governing land use LEP 2006 does contain specific provisions in relation to environmental protection. The inconsistency is therefore considered to be of minor significance.
2.3 Heritage Conservation	N/A
2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas	N/A
3 Housing, Infrastructure and Urban Development	
3.1 Residential Zones	N/A
3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates	N/A
3.3 Home Occupation	This planning proposal does not include specific provisions relating to home occupations, however the LEP 2006 contains provisions which are consistent with this direction The inconsistency is therefore considered to be of minor significance.
3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport	N/A
3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes	N/A
4 Hazard and Risk	
4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils	N/A
4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land	N/A
4.3 Flood Prone Land	N/A
4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection	A bushfire hazard assessment report has

	been submitted with each of the applications. Council will consult with the NSW Rural Fire Service. The proposal will be consistent with this direction.
6 Local Plan Making	
6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements	The planning proposal is consistent with this direction
6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes	The planning proposal is consistent with this direction
6.3 Site Specific Provisions.	The LEP is consistent with clause 4(c) as the planning proposal does not impose any development standards or requirements in addition to those already contained in the Dungog LEP 2006. It is also consistent with clause 5 of this direction, as the planning proposal does not contain or refer to any drawings that show detail of the development proposal. The planning proposal is consistent with this direction.